

**On the Expected Discounted Penalty Function
at Ruin of a Surplus Process with Interest**

by

Jun Cai and David C.M. Dickson

The University of Melbourne

RESEARCH PAPER NUMBER 91

November 2001

Centre for Actuarial Studies
Department of Economics
The University of Melbourne
Victoria 3010
Australia

On the expected discounted penalty function at ruin of a surplus process with interest

Jun Cai and David C. M. Dickson
Centre for Actuarial Studies
Faculty of Economics and Commerce
University of Melbourne
Victoria 3010
Australia

Abstract

In this paper, we study the expected value of a discounted penalty function at ruin of the classical surplus process modified by the inclusion of interest on the surplus. The ‘penalty’ is simply a function of the surplus immediately prior to ruin and the deficit at ruin. An integral equation for the expected value is derived, while the exact solution is given when the initial surplus is zero. Dickson’s (1992) formulae for the distribution of the surplus immediately prior to ruin in the classical surplus process are generalised to our modified surplus process.

Keywords: ruin penalty function, surplus prior to ruin, deficit at ruin, Laplace transform, Volterra equation, compound Poisson process, force of interest.

1 Introduction

Consider a compound Poisson risk model. Assume that $T_n = \sum_{k=1}^n Y_k$ is the time of the n -th claim and X_n is the amount of the n -th claim. Suppose that $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ and $\{Y_n, n \geq 1\}$ are two independent sequences of i.i.d. positive random variables, where $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ have common distribution $F(x) = \Pr\{X_1 \leq x\}$ with mean $\mu > 0$, and $\{Y_n, n \geq 1\}$ have common exponential distribution $\Pr\{Y_1 \leq x\} = 1 - \exp\{-\lambda x\}$, $x \geq 0$, where $\lambda > 0$.

The number of claims up to time t is denoted by $N(t) = \sup\{n : T_n \leq t\}$. The claim number process is a Poisson process with rate λ , and the aggregate claim amount up to time t is

$$Z(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{N(t)} X_n.$$

Assume that the insurer receives interest on its surplus at a constant force δ per unit time. Let $U_\delta(t)$ denote the surplus at time t . Then

$$U_\delta(t) = ue^{\delta t} + c\bar{s}_{\bar{t}|}^{(\delta)} - \int_0^t e^{\delta(t-x)} dZ(x) \quad (1.1)$$

where u is the initial surplus and $c = (1 + \theta)\lambda\mu$ is the rate of premium income per unit time, where $\theta > 0$ is the premium loading factor.

Let the time of ruin be

$$T_\delta = \begin{cases} \inf\{t : U_\delta(t) < 0\} \\ \infty \text{ if } U_\delta(t) \geq 0 \text{ for all } t > 0. \end{cases}$$

Denote by $\psi_\delta(u)$ the ruin probability for the surplus process given by equation (1.1). Then

$$\psi_\delta(u) = \Pr\{T_\delta < \infty\} = \Pr\{\cup_{t \geq 0} (U_\delta(t) < 0)\}.$$

The following notation applies throughout this paper:

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \frac{d}{dx}F(x); \\ F_1(x) &= 1 - \bar{F}_1(x) = \frac{1}{\mu} \int_0^x \bar{F}(t)dt; \\ \bar{\psi}_\delta(u) &= 1 - \psi_\delta(u); \quad \psi(u) = \psi_{\delta=0}(u); \quad \psi(0) = \frac{\lambda\mu}{c} = \frac{1}{1+\theta}; \\ U(T_\delta^-) &= \text{the surplus immediately prior to ruin}; \\ |U(T_\delta)| &= \text{the deficit at ruin}; \\ F_\delta(u, x) &= \Pr\{U(T_\delta^-) \leq x, T_\delta < \infty\}; \quad f_\delta(u, x) = \frac{d}{dx}F_\delta(u, x); \\ H_\delta(u, x, y) &= \Pr\{U(T_\delta^-) \leq x, |U(T_\delta)| \leq y, T_\delta < \infty\}; \\ h_\delta(u, x, y) &= \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y}H_\delta(u, x, y). \end{aligned}$$

We consider the expected value of a discounted function of the surplus immediately prior to ruin and the deficit at ruin when ruin occurs as a function of the initial surplus u , namely,

$$\Phi_{\delta, \alpha}(u) = E(w(U(T_\delta^-), |U(T_\delta)|) e^{-\alpha T_\delta} I(T_\delta < \infty))$$

where $I(A)$ is the indicator function of a set A , w is a non-negative function, and α is a non-negative valued parameter. We can interpret $\exp\{-\alpha T_\delta\}$ as the ‘discounting factor’.

The function $\Phi_{\delta, \alpha}(u)$ provides a unified means of studying the joint distribution of the surplus immediately prior to ruin and the deficit at ruin. The distributions of these quantities, both joint and marginal, have been studied by many authors including Dickson (1992),

Dufresne and Gerber (1988), Gerber *et al* (1987), Gerber and Shiu (1997, 1998) and Lin and Willmot (1999). In particular, Gerber and Shiu (1998) studied the function $\Phi_{\delta=0,\alpha}(u)$ in detail, but they did not consider the case when $\delta > 0$.

In this paper, we will follow ideas in Sundt and Teugels (1995). In particular, we will consider the function $\Phi_{\delta,\alpha=0}(u) = \Phi_{\delta}(u)$. We will also derive an integral equation for $\Phi_{\delta,\alpha}(u)$ and find the Laplace transform of an auxiliary function of $\Phi_{\delta,\alpha}(u)$. We then find an exact solution for $\Phi_{\delta}(0)$ and generalise Dickson's (1992) formulae for the distribution of the surplus prior to ruin when $\delta = 0$ to the situation when $\delta > 0$. Applications of the results will be illustrated by a variety of examples.

2 Integral equations

Using similar arguments to Gerber and Shiu (1998) and Sundt and Teugels (1995), we condition on the time, t , and on the amount, x , of the first claim. We note that if $x \leq ue^{\delta t} + c\bar{s}_{\bar{t}}^{(\delta)}$, then ruin does not occur, but if $x > ue^{\delta t} + c\bar{s}_{\bar{t}}^{(\delta)}$, then ruin occurs. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_{\delta,\alpha}(u) &= \int_0^{\infty} \lambda e^{-\lambda t} \int_0^{\infty} E(w(U(T_{\delta}^-), |U(T_{\delta})|) e^{-\alpha T_{\delta}} I(T_{\delta} < \infty) | X_1 = x, Y_1 = t) dF(x) dt \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} \lambda e^{-(\lambda+\alpha)t} \int_0^{ue^{\delta t} + c\bar{s}_{\bar{t}}^{(\delta)}} \Phi_{\delta,\alpha}(ue^{\delta t} + c\bar{s}_{\bar{t}}^{(\delta)} - x) dF(x) dt \\ &\quad + \int_0^{\infty} \lambda e^{-(\lambda+\alpha)t} \int_{ue^{\delta t} + c\bar{s}_{\bar{t}}^{(\delta)}}^{\infty} w(ue^{\delta t} + c\bar{s}_{\bar{t}}^{(\delta)}, x - ue^{\delta t} - c\bar{s}_{\bar{t}}^{(\delta)}) dF(x) dt.\end{aligned}$$

Substituting $y = ue^{\delta t} + c\bar{s}_{\bar{t}}^{(\delta)} = ue^{\delta t} + c(e^{\delta t} - 1)/\delta$ in the above equation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_{\delta,\alpha}(u) &= \lambda(\delta u + c)^{\frac{\lambda+\alpha}{\delta}} \int_u^{\infty} (\delta y + c)^{-\frac{\lambda+\alpha}{\delta}-1} \int_0^y \Phi_{\delta,\alpha}(y-x) dF(x) dy \\ &\quad + \lambda(\delta u + c)^{\frac{\lambda+\alpha}{\delta}} \int_u^{\infty} (\delta y + c)^{-\frac{\lambda+\alpha}{\delta}-1} \int_y^{\infty} w(y, x-y) dF(x) dy \\ &= \lambda(\delta u + c)^{\frac{\lambda+\alpha}{\delta}} \int_u^{\infty} (\delta y + c)^{-\frac{\lambda+\alpha}{\delta}-1} \left(\int_0^y \Phi_{\delta,\alpha}(y-x) dF(x) + A(y) \right) dy \quad (2.1)\end{aligned}$$

where

$$A(t) = \int_t^{\infty} w(t, s-t) dF(s).$$

Differentiating equation (2.1) with respect to u , we get

$$\frac{d}{du} \Phi_{\delta,\alpha}(u) = \frac{\lambda + \alpha}{c + \delta u} \Phi_{\delta,\alpha}(u) - \frac{\lambda}{c + \delta u} \left(\int_0^u \Phi_{\delta,\alpha}(u-x) dF(x) + A(u) \right). \quad (2.2)$$

Replacing u by t in equation (2.2) and re-arranging, we get for any $t \geq 0$,

$$(\lambda + \alpha)\Phi_{\delta,\alpha}(t) = (c + \delta t)\frac{d}{dt}\Phi_{\delta,\alpha}(t) + \lambda \int_0^t \Phi_{\delta,\alpha}(t-s)dF(s) + \lambda A(t). \quad (2.3)$$

Thus, integrating equation (2.3) from 0 to u , then performing integration by parts, we get,

$$\begin{aligned} & (\lambda + \alpha) \int_0^u \Phi_{\delta,\alpha}(t)dt \\ &= \int_0^u (c + \delta t)d\Phi_{\delta,\alpha}(t) + \lambda \int_0^u \int_0^t \Phi_{\delta,\alpha}(t-s)dF(s)dt + \lambda \int_0^u A(t)dt \\ &= (c + \delta u)\Phi_{\delta,\alpha}(u) - c\Phi_{\delta,\alpha}(0) - \delta \int_0^u \Phi_{\delta,\alpha}(t)dt + \lambda \int_0^u \int_s^u \Phi_{\delta,\alpha}(t-s)dtdF(s) + \lambda \int_0^u A(t)dt \\ &= (c + \delta u)\Phi_{\delta,\alpha}(u) - c\Phi_{\delta,\alpha}(0) - \delta \int_0^u \Phi_{\delta,\alpha}(t)dt + \lambda \int_0^u \left(\int_0^{u-s} \Phi_{\delta,\alpha}(y)dy \right) dF(s) + \lambda \int_0^u A(t)dt \\ &= (c + \delta u)\Phi_{\delta,\alpha}(u) - c\Phi_{\delta,\alpha}(0) - \delta \int_0^u \Phi_{\delta,\alpha}(t)dt + \lambda \int_0^u F(s)\Phi_{\delta,\alpha}(u-s)ds + \lambda \int_0^u A(t)dt, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$(c + \delta u)\Phi_{\delta,\alpha}(u) = c\Phi_{\delta,\alpha}(0) - \lambda \int_0^u A(t)dt + \int_0^u (\delta + \alpha + \lambda\bar{F}(u-t))\Phi_{\delta,\alpha}(t)dt,$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{\delta,\alpha}(u) &= \frac{c\Phi_{\delta,\alpha}(0)}{c + \delta u} - \frac{\lambda}{c + \delta u} \int_0^u A(t)dt + \int_0^u \frac{\delta + \alpha + \lambda\bar{F}(u-t)}{c + \delta u} \Phi_{\delta,\alpha}(t)dt \\ &= \frac{c\Phi_{\delta,\alpha}(0)}{c + \delta u} - \frac{\lambda}{c + \delta u} \int_0^u A(t)dt + \int_0^u k_{\delta,\alpha}(u,t)\Phi_{\delta,\alpha}(t)dt, \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

where

$$k_{\delta,\alpha}(u,t) = \frac{\delta + \alpha + \lambda\bar{F}(u-t)}{c + \delta u}.$$

In particular, recalling that $\Phi_{\delta}(u) = \Phi_{\delta,\alpha=0}(u)$, we get

$$(c + \delta u)\Phi_{\delta}(u) = c\Phi_{\delta}(0) - \lambda \int_0^u A(t)dt + \int_0^u (\delta + \lambda\bar{F}(u-t))\Phi_{\delta}(t)dt, \quad (2.5)$$

for any $u \geq 0$, and

$$\Phi_{\delta}(u) = \frac{c\Phi_{\delta}(0)}{c + \delta u} - \frac{\lambda}{c + \delta u} \int_0^u A(t)dt + \int_0^u k_{\delta}(u,t)\Phi_{\delta}(t)dt, \quad (2.6)$$

where

$$k_{\delta}(u,t) = k_{\delta,\alpha=0}(u,t) = \frac{\delta + \lambda\bar{F}(u-t)}{c + \delta u}.$$

Both equations (2.4) and (2.6) are types of the following Volterra integral equation

$$\varphi(x) = l(x) + \int_0^x k(x, s)\varphi(s)ds. \quad (2.7)$$

It is well known (see, for example, Mikhlin(1957)) that if l is absolutely integrable and the kernel k is continuous, then for any $x > 0$, the unique solution for $\varphi(x)$ has the following representation

$$\varphi(x) = l(x) + \int_0^x K(x, s)l(s)ds, \quad (2.8)$$

where

$$K(x, s) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} k_m(x, s), \quad x > s \geq 0, \quad (2.9)$$

is called the resolvent of equation (2.7), and

$$k_m(x, s) = \int_s^x k(x, t)k_{m-1}(t, s)dt, \quad m = 2, 3, \dots, \quad x > s \geq 0,$$

with $k_1(x, s) = k(x, s)$.

Further, $\varphi(x)$ can be approximated recursively by Picard's sequence (see Mikhlin(1957)) defined by

$$\varphi_n(x) = l(x) + \int_0^x \varphi_{n-1}(s)l(s)ds, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

with $\varphi_0(x) = l(x)$.

Therefore, at least in principle, if we can find $\Phi_{\delta, \alpha}(0)$, we can find the form of the solution for $\Phi_{\delta, \alpha}(u)$ and can approximate $\Phi_{\delta, \alpha}(u)$ recursively. Hence it is important to be able to find $\Phi_{\delta, \alpha}(0)$. Gerber and Shiu (1998) have obtained $\Phi_{\delta=0, \alpha}(0)$ using the technique of probability measure transform. However, we will find $\Phi_{\delta}(0) = \Phi_{\delta, \alpha=0}(0)$ by using Laplace transforms in the next section. In what follows, unless we state otherwise, the term Laplace transform refers to a Stieltjes transform.

3 The exact solution for $\Phi_{\delta}(0)$

We define an auxiliary function of $\Phi_{\delta}(u)$ as

$$Z_{\delta}(u) = \frac{\Phi_{\delta}(0) - \Phi_{\delta}(u)}{\Phi_{\delta}(0)}. \quad (3.1)$$

Then $Z_\delta(0) = 0$. Also, if the claim size distribution F is sufficiently regular, then $\Phi_\delta(u) \rightarrow 0$ as $u \rightarrow \infty$. In this case, $\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} Z_\delta(u) = 1$ and we can find the Laplace transform of Z_δ , namely,

$$\gamma_\delta(s) = \int_0^\infty e^{-sx} dZ_\delta(x),$$

with $\gamma_\delta(0) = 1$. Therefore, we assume that $\Phi_\delta(u) \rightarrow 0$ as $u \rightarrow \infty$. In particular, a sufficient condition for this assumption is that w is bounded. In fact, if $w \leq L$ for some $L > 0$ and F has a finite second moment, then

$$\begin{aligned} (c + \delta u)\Phi_\delta(u) &\leq L(c + \delta u)EI(T_\delta < \infty) \\ &= L(c\psi_\delta(u) + \delta u\psi_\delta(u)) \leq L(c\psi(u) + \delta u\psi(u)) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $u \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, letting $u \rightarrow \infty$ in equation (2.5), we get

$$\Phi_\delta(0) = \frac{\lambda}{c} \int_0^\infty A(t)dt - \frac{\delta}{c} \int_0^\infty \Phi_\delta(t)dt. \quad (3.2)$$

Hence, $\Phi_\delta(0)$ can also be obtained by finding the standard Laplace transform of Φ_δ , namely $\int_0^\infty e^{-st}\Phi_\delta(t)dt$.

Now, equation (3.1) implies that

$$\Phi_\delta(u) = \Phi_\delta(0) - \Phi_\delta(0)Z_\delta(u). \quad (3.3)$$

Inserting equation (3.3) into equation (2.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &c\Phi_\delta(0) - c\Phi_\delta(0)Z_\delta(u) + \delta\Phi_\delta(0)u - \delta\Phi_\delta(0)uZ(u) \\ &= c\Phi_\delta(0) - \lambda \int_0^u A(t)dt + \delta \int_0^u (\Phi_\delta(0) - \Phi_\delta(0)Z_\delta(t))dt \\ &\quad + \lambda \int_0^u \bar{F}(u-t)(\Phi_\delta(0) - \Phi_\delta(0)Z_\delta(t))dt, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$(c + \delta u)Z_\delta(u) = \delta \int_0^u Z_\delta(t)dt + \frac{\lambda m_A}{\Phi_\delta(0)} A_1(u) - \lambda \mu F_1(u) + \lambda \mu Z_\delta * F_1(u) \quad (3.4)$$

where

$$A_1(u) = \frac{1}{m_A} \int_0^u A(t)dt, \quad m_A = \int_0^\infty A(t)dt,$$

and $Z_\delta * F_1$ is the Stieltjes convolution of Z_δ and F_1 .

Thus, differentiating both sides of equation (3.4), we have

$$(c + \delta u)dZ_\delta(u) + \delta Z_\delta(u) du = \delta Z_\delta(u) du + \frac{\lambda m_A}{\Phi_\delta(0)} dA_1(u) - \lambda \mu dF_1(u) + \lambda \mu dZ_\delta * F_1(u),$$

which gives

$$(c + \delta u)dZ_\delta(u) = \frac{\lambda m_A}{\Phi_\delta(0)} dA_1(u) - \lambda \mu dF_1(u) + \lambda \mu dZ_\delta * F_1(u). \quad (3.5)$$

Taking Laplace transforms of both sides of equation (3.5) yields

$$c \gamma_\delta(s) - \delta \frac{d}{ds} \gamma_\delta(s) = \frac{\lambda m_A}{\Phi_\delta(0)} \beta(s) - \lambda \mu \phi(s) + \lambda \mu \phi(s) \gamma_\delta(s), \quad (3.6)$$

where ϕ and β are the Laplace transforms of F_1 and A_1 respectively, namely,

$$\phi(s) = \int_0^\infty e^{-sx} dF_1(x) = \frac{1}{\mu} \int_0^\infty e^{-sx} \bar{F}(x) dx,$$

or, if F is a continuous distribution,

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-sx} f(x) dx = 1 - \mu s \phi(s), \quad (3.7)$$

and

$$\beta(s) = \int_0^\infty e^{-sx} dA_1(x) = \frac{1}{m_A} \int_0^\infty e^{-sx} A(x) dx. \quad (3.8)$$

Equation (3.6) is equivalent to

$$-\delta \frac{d}{ds} \gamma_\delta(s) + P_\delta(s) \gamma_\delta(s) = Q_\delta(s)$$

where

$$P_\delta(s) = c - \lambda \mu \phi(s)$$

and

$$Q_\delta(s) = \frac{\lambda m_A}{\Phi_\delta(0)} \beta(s) - \lambda \mu \phi(s).$$

When $\delta > 0$, we note that

$$\frac{d}{ds} \left(\gamma_\delta(s) \exp \left(-\frac{1}{\delta} \int_0^s P_\delta(t) dt \right) \right) = -\frac{1}{\delta} Q_\delta(s) \exp \left(-\frac{1}{\delta} \int_0^s P_\delta(t) dt \right)$$

and using the arguments of Sundt and Teugels (1995), we get

$$\gamma_\delta(s) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\delta} \int_0^s P_\delta(t) dt\right) = \frac{1}{\delta} \int_s^\infty Q_\delta(t) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\delta} \int_0^t P_\delta(z) dz\right) dt.$$

Hence, $\gamma_\delta(0) = 1$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} \delta &= \int_0^\infty Q_\delta(t) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\delta} \int_0^t P_\delta(z) dz\right) dt \\ &= \frac{\lambda m_A}{\Phi_\delta(0)} \int_0^\infty \beta(t) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\delta} \int_0^t P_\delta(z) dz\right) dt - \lambda \mu \int_0^\infty \phi(t) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\delta} \int_0^t P_\delta(z) dz\right) dt, \end{aligned}$$

or, equivalently,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_\delta(0) &= \frac{\lambda m_A \int_0^\infty \beta(t) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\delta} \left(ct - \lambda \mu \int_0^t \phi(s) ds\right)\right) dt}{\delta + \lambda \mu \int_0^\infty \phi(t) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\delta} \left(ct - \lambda \mu \int_0^t \phi(s) ds\right)\right) dt} \\ &= \frac{\lambda m_A}{\kappa_\delta} \int_0^\infty \beta(\delta z) \exp\left(-cz + \lambda \mu \int_0^z \phi(\delta s) ds\right) dz \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

where equation (3.9) follows from the substitution $t = \delta z$, and we define

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_\delta &= 1 + \lambda \mu \int_0^\infty \phi(\delta z) \exp\left(-cz + \lambda \mu \int_0^z \phi(\delta s) ds\right) dz \\ &= c \int_0^\infty \exp\left(-cz + \lambda \mu \int_0^z \phi(\delta s) ds\right) dz \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

using integration by parts.

Note that κ_δ does not depend on the choice of A or w , but β does. We will illustrate the applications of equations (2.6) and (3.9) by examples.

Similarly, if we define an auxiliary function of $\Phi_{\delta,\alpha}(u)$ as

$$Z_{\delta,\alpha}(u) = \frac{\Phi_{\delta,\alpha}(0) - \Phi_{\delta,\alpha}(u)}{\Phi_{\delta,\alpha}(0)}$$

and denote the Laplace transform of $Z_{\delta,\alpha}$ by

$$\gamma_{\delta,\alpha}(s) = \int_0^\infty e^{-sx} dZ_{\delta,\alpha}(x),$$

we get a differential equation for $\gamma_{\delta,\alpha}(s)$, namely

$$c \gamma_{\delta,\alpha}(s) - \delta \frac{d}{ds} \gamma_{\delta,\alpha}(s) = \alpha \frac{\gamma_{\delta,\alpha}(s)}{s} + \frac{\lambda m_A}{\Phi_\delta(0)} \beta(s) - \frac{\alpha}{s} - \lambda \mu \phi(s) + \lambda \mu \phi(s) \gamma_{\delta,\alpha}(s).$$

Unfortunately, we are unable to determine $\gamma_{\delta,\alpha}(0)$ using the methods of this section. It seems that the method of Gerber and Shiu (1998) does not apply either.

Example 3.1 Let $w(x_1, x_2) = 1$. Then $\Phi_\delta(u) = \psi_\delta(u)$, $A(t) = \bar{F}(t)$, $m_A = \mu$, and $\beta(s) = \phi(s)$, and equation (2.6) gives

$$\psi_\delta(u) = \frac{c\psi_\delta(0)}{c + \delta u} - \frac{\lambda\mu}{c + \delta u} F_1(u) + \int_0^u k_\delta(u, t)\psi_\delta(t)dt, \quad (3.11)$$

Equivalently, as $\bar{\psi}_\delta(u) = 1 - \psi_\delta(u)$,

$$\bar{\psi}_\delta(u) = \frac{c\bar{\psi}_\delta(0)}{c + \delta u} + \int_0^u k_\delta(u, t)\bar{\psi}_\delta(t)dt, \quad (3.12)$$

which is equation (2) of Sundt and Teugels (1995). In addition, equations (3.9) and (3.10) give

$$\psi_\delta(0) = \frac{\lambda\mu}{\kappa_\delta} \int_0^\infty \phi(\delta z) \exp\left(-cz + \lambda\mu \int_0^z \phi(\delta s)ds\right) dz = \frac{\kappa_\delta - 1}{\kappa_\delta}$$

which is equivalent to equation (14) of Sundt and Teugels (1995). As $1/\kappa_\delta = \bar{\psi}_\delta(0)$, the general expression for $\Phi_\delta(0)$ in (3.9) becomes

$$\Phi_\delta(0) = \lambda m_A \bar{\psi}_\delta(0) \int_0^\infty \beta(\delta z) \exp\left(-cz + \lambda\mu \int_0^z \phi(\delta s)ds\right) dz. \quad (3.13)$$

Example 3.2 Let $w(x_1, x_2) = I(x_1 \leq x)I(x_2 \leq y)$. Then $\Phi_\delta(u) = H_\delta(u, x, y)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} A(t) &= \int_t^\infty w(t, s-t)dF(s) = \int_t^\infty I(t \leq x)I(s-t \leq y)dF(s) \\ &= I(t \leq x) \int_t^{t+y} dF(s) = I(t \leq x)(\bar{F}(t) - \bar{F}(t+y)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, equation (2.6) gives

$$\begin{aligned} H_\delta(u, x, y) &= \frac{cH_\delta(0, x, y)}{c + \delta u} - \frac{\lambda}{c + \delta u} \int_0^{u \wedge x} (\bar{F}(t) - \bar{F}(y+t)) dt \\ &\quad + \int_0^u k_\delta(u, t)H_\delta(t, x, y)dt \\ &= \frac{cH_\delta(0, x, y)}{c + \delta u} - \frac{\lambda\mu}{c + \delta u} [F_1(u \wedge x) + F_1(y) - F_1(u \wedge x + y)] \\ &\quad + \int_0^u k_\delta(u, t)H_\delta(t, x, y)dt. \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

In addition,

$$\beta(t) = \frac{1}{m_A} \int_0^\infty e^{-ts} A(s)ds = \frac{1}{m_A} \int_0^x e^{-ts} (\bar{F}(s) - \bar{F}(y+s))ds.$$

Hence, equation (3.9) gives

$$H_\delta(0, x, y) = \frac{\lambda}{\kappa_\delta} \int_0^\infty \int_0^x e^{-\delta sz} (\bar{F}(s) - \bar{F}(y+s))ds \exp\left(-cz + \lambda\mu \int_0^z \phi(\delta s)ds\right) dz. \quad (3.15)$$

Thus, equations (3.14) and (3.15) give the main results of Yang and Zhang (2001a). Similarly, letting $x \rightarrow \infty$ in these equations we get equations (4) and (12) of Yang and Zhang (2001b).

Example 3.3 For $r \geq 0$, let $w(x_1, x_2) = e^{-rx_2}$. Then

$$\Phi_\delta(u) = E(e^{-r|U(T_\delta)|} I(T_\delta < \infty)) = \tilde{W}_\delta(u, r),$$

the Laplace transform of the deficit at ruin when ruin occurs. Thus, when F is a continuous distribution,

$$A(t) = \int_t^\infty w(t, x-t) dF(x) = \int_t^\infty e^{-r(x-t)} f(x) dx,$$

and by equations (3.8) and (3.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(t) &= \frac{1}{m_A} \int_0^\infty e^{-ty} \int_y^\infty e^{-r(x-y)} f(x) dx dy \\ &= \frac{1}{m_A} \int_0^\infty e^{-rx} f(x) \int_0^x e^{-(t-r)y} dy dx = \frac{1}{m_A} \int_0^\infty f(x) \frac{e^{-rx} - e^{-tx}}{t-r} dx \\ &= \left(\frac{\mu}{m_A} \right) \frac{t\phi(t) - r\phi(r)}{t-r}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, using equation (3.13) we get

$$\tilde{W}_\delta(0, r) = \lambda\mu\bar{\psi}_\delta(0) \int_0^\infty \frac{\delta z \phi(\delta z) - r\phi(r)}{\delta z - r} \exp\left(-cz + \lambda\mu \int_0^z \phi(\delta s) ds\right) dz.$$

Therefore, given that $U_\delta(0) = 0$ and ruin occurs, if the $(n+1)$ -th moment of F exists, we get the n -th moment of the deficit at ruin, namely,

$$\begin{aligned} E(|U(T_\delta)|^n | T_\delta < \infty) &= \frac{(-1)^n}{\psi_\delta(0)} \left(\frac{d^n}{dr^n} \tilde{W}_\delta(0, r) \Big|_{r=0} \right) \\ &= \frac{\lambda\mu\bar{\psi}_\delta(0)}{\psi_\delta(0)} \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{d^n}{dr^n} \frac{\delta z \phi(\delta z) - r\phi(r)}{\delta z - r} \Big|_{r=0} \right) \exp\left(-cz + \lambda\mu \int_0^z \phi(\delta s) ds\right) dz. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, when $n = 1$ we have

$$E(|U(T_\delta)| | T_\delta < \infty) = \frac{\lambda\mu\bar{\psi}_\delta(0)}{\psi_\delta(0)} \int_0^\infty \frac{1 - \phi(\delta z)}{\delta z} \exp\left(-cz + \lambda\mu \int_0^z \phi(\delta s) ds\right) dz.$$

Similarly, we can let $w(x_1, x_2) = e^{-r(x_1+x_2)}$ to find the Laplace transform of $U(T^-) + |U(T)|$, the amount of the claim causing ruin when ruin occurs, and hence its moments.

4 The distribution of the surplus prior to ruin

Throughout this section we assume that F is a continuous distribution with density f . From equation (3.15), we have

$$h_\delta(0, x, y) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y \partial x} H_\delta(0, x, y) = \frac{\lambda}{\kappa_\delta} f(x+y) \int_0^\infty \exp\{-(c+\delta x)z + \lambda\mu \int_0^z \phi(\delta s) ds\} dz, \quad (4.1)$$

and

$$F_\delta(0, x) = \lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} H_\delta(0, x, y) = \frac{\lambda}{\kappa_\delta} \int_0^\infty \int_0^x e^{-\delta s z} \bar{F}(s) ds \exp\left(-cz + \lambda \mu \int_0^z \phi(\delta s) ds\right) dz,$$

which gives

$$f_\delta(0, x) = \frac{d}{dx} F_\delta(0, x) = \frac{\lambda}{\kappa_\delta} \bar{F}(x) \int_0^\infty \exp\left(-(c + \delta x)z + \lambda \mu \int_0^z \phi(\delta s) ds\right) dz. \quad (4.2)$$

Thus, equations (4.2) and (4.1) yield

$$h_\delta(0, x, y) = \frac{f(x + y)}{\bar{F}(x)} f_\delta(0, x). \quad (4.3)$$

Equation (4.3) is a special case of a more general result, namely,

$$h_\delta(u, x, y) = \frac{f(x + y)}{\bar{F}(x)} f_\delta(u, x). \quad (4.4)$$

Equation (4.4) is interesting because it shows that the joint distribution of the surplus immediately prior to ruin and the deficit at ruin is determined by the individual claim amount distribution and the distribution of the surplus immediately prior to ruin. The intuition behind this is given in the proof of equation (2.40) of Gerber and Shiu (1998). We also note that the proof of Gerber and Shiu's equation still holds for equation (4.4). However, we will give an alternative analytical proof of equation (4.4) using equations for $h_\delta(u, x, y)$ and $f_\delta(u, x)$.

Due to equation (4.4), the study of the distribution of the surplus immediately prior to ruin is important. Dickson (1992) has found the following formulae for $f_{\delta=0}(u, x)$, which state that when $u \leq x$,

$$f_{\delta=0}(u, x) = f_{\delta=0}(0, x) \frac{1 - \psi(u)}{1 - \psi(0)} \quad (4.5)$$

and when $u > x$,

$$f_{\delta=0}(u, x) = f_{\delta=0}(0, x) \frac{\psi(u - x) - \psi(u)}{1 - \psi(0)}. \quad (4.6)$$

Basically, formulae (4.5) and (4.6) show that the distribution of the surplus immediately prior to ruin is a function of the ruin probability ψ . Dickson (1992) also derived the corresponding formulae for $F_{\delta=0}(u, x)$. Gerber and Shiu (1998) have generalised Dickson's formulae under the definition of ruin probability given in their paper. Here we investigate whether Dickson's formulae hold when $\delta > 0$. We will discuss this issue later in this section.

First, differentiating equation (3.14) with respect to x and y successively, we get an integral equation for $h_\delta(u, x, y)$.

For any $u \geq 0$,

$$h_\delta(u, x, y) = \frac{c h_\delta(0, x, y)}{c + \delta u} - \frac{\lambda I(u > x) f(x + y)}{c + \delta u} + \int_0^u k_\delta(u, t) h_\delta(t, x, y) dt. \quad (4.7)$$

Letting $y \rightarrow \infty$ in equation (3.14), we get an integral equation for $F_\delta(u, x)$. For any $u \geq 0$,

$$F_\delta(u, x) = \frac{c F_\delta(0, x)}{c + \delta u} - \frac{\lambda \mu}{c + \delta u} F_1(u \wedge x) + \int_0^u k_\delta(u, t) F_\delta(t, x) dt. \quad (4.8)$$

Differentiating equation (4.8) with respect to x , we get an integral equation for $f_\delta(u, x)$. For any $u \geq 0$,

$$f_\delta(u, x) = \frac{c f_\delta(0, x)}{c + \delta u} - \frac{\lambda I(u > x)}{c + \delta u} \bar{F}(x) + \int_0^u k_\delta(u, t) f_\delta(t, x) dt.$$

Now let $K_\delta(x, s)$ be the resolvent of the Volterra equation (2.6), namely, for $x > s \geq 0$,

$$K_\delta(x, s) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} k_{m,\delta}(x, s)$$

where

$$k_{m,\delta}(x, s) = \int_s^x k_\delta(x, t) k_{m-1,\delta}(t, s) dt, \quad m = 2, 3, \dots,$$

with

$$k_{1,\delta}(x, s) = k_\delta(x, s) = \frac{\delta + \lambda \bar{F}(x - s)}{c + \delta x}.$$

Then by equations (3.12) and (2.8), we know that

$$\bar{\psi}_\delta(u) = \frac{c \bar{\psi}_\delta(0)}{c + \delta u} + c \bar{\psi}_\delta(0) \int_0^u \frac{K_\delta(u, t)}{c + \delta t} dt,$$

implying that

$$\int_0^u \frac{K_\delta(u, t)}{c + \delta t} dt = \frac{\bar{\psi}_\delta(u)}{c \bar{\psi}_\delta(0)} - \frac{1}{c + \delta u}. \quad (4.9)$$

In particular, when $\delta = 0$

$$k_0(x, s) = k_{\delta=0}(x, s) = \frac{\lambda}{c} \bar{F}(x - s).$$

Thus, for $x > s \geq 0$

$$K_0(x, s) = K_{\delta=0}(x, s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\lambda/c)^n \bar{F}^{*n}(x - s) = K_0(x - s).$$

In fact, $\theta K_0(u)/(1 + \theta)$ is the density function of the compound geometric distribution function

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\theta}{1 + \theta} \left(\frac{1}{1 + \theta} \right)^n F_1^{*n}(u),$$

which is the well-known Beekman convolution formula for $\bar{\psi}(u)$.

Hence, equation (4.9) implies that for any $u \geq 0$,

$$\int_0^u K_0(y) dy = \frac{\bar{\psi}(u)}{\bar{\psi}(0)} - 1 = \frac{\psi(0) - \psi(u)}{1 - \psi(0)}.$$

Therefore, for any $u > x \geq 0$,

$$\int_0^x K_0(u - t) dt = \int_{u-x}^u K_0(y) dy = \frac{\psi(u - x) - \psi(u)}{1 - \psi(0)}. \quad (4.10)$$

In addition, by equations (3.11), (2.8), and (4.9), we know that $\psi_\delta(u)$ has the following representation of solution

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_\delta(u) &= \frac{c\psi_\delta(0)}{c + \delta u} - \frac{\lambda\mu}{c + \delta u} F_1(u) + \int_0^u K_\delta(u, t) \left(\frac{c\psi_\delta(0)}{c + \delta t} - \frac{\lambda\mu}{c + \delta t} F_1(t) \right) dt \\ &= \frac{c\psi_\delta(0)}{c + \delta u} - \frac{\lambda\mu}{c + \delta u} F_1(u) + c\psi_\delta(0) \left[\frac{\bar{\psi}_\delta(u)}{c\bar{\psi}_\delta(0)} - \frac{1}{c + \delta u} \right] - \lambda\mu \int_0^u \frac{K_\delta(u, t)}{c + \delta t} F_1(t) dt \\ &= \frac{\psi_\delta(0)\bar{\psi}_\delta(u)}{\bar{\psi}_\delta(0)} - \frac{\lambda\mu}{c + \delta u} F_1(u) - \lambda\mu \int_0^u \frac{K_\delta(u, t)}{c + \delta t} F_1(t) dt \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\lambda\mu \int_0^u \frac{K_\delta(u, t)}{c + \delta t} F_1(t) dt = \frac{\psi_\delta(0)\bar{\psi}_\delta(u)}{\bar{\psi}_\delta(0)} - \psi_\delta(u) - \frac{\lambda\mu}{c + \delta u} F_1(u). \quad (4.11)$$

We can now give equations satisfied by $F_\delta(u, x)$.

Theorem 4.1 When $u \leq x$,

$$F_\delta(u, x) = \psi_\delta(u) - \frac{1 - \psi_\delta(u)}{1 - \psi_\delta(0)} (\psi_\delta(0) - F_\delta(0, x)). \quad (4.12)$$

When $u > x$,

$$F_\delta(u, x) = \left(F_\delta(0, x) - \frac{1}{1 + \theta} F_1(x) \right) \frac{1 - \psi_\delta(u)}{1 - \psi_\delta(0)} + \lambda\mu \int_0^x \frac{K_\delta(u, t)}{c + \delta t} (F_1(x) - F_1(t)) dt. \quad (4.13)$$

Proof. By equations (4.8), (2.8), and (4.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& F_\delta(u, x) \\
&= \frac{c F_\delta(0, x)}{c + \delta u} - \frac{\lambda \mu}{c + \delta u} F_1(u \wedge x) + \int_0^u K_\delta(u, t) \left[\frac{c F_\delta(0, x)}{c + \delta t} - \frac{\lambda \mu}{c + \delta t} F_1(t \wedge x) \right] dt \\
&= \frac{c F_\delta(0, x)}{c + \delta u} - \frac{\lambda \mu}{c + \delta u} F_1(u \wedge x) + c F_\delta(0, x) \int_0^u \frac{K_\delta(u, t)}{c + \delta t} dt \\
&\quad - \lambda \mu \int_0^u \frac{K_\delta(u, t)}{c + \delta t} F_1(t \wedge x) dt \\
&= \frac{c F_\delta(0, x)}{c + \delta u} - \frac{\lambda \mu}{c + \delta u} F_1(u \wedge x) + c F_\delta(0, x) \left[\frac{\bar{\psi}_\delta(u)}{c \bar{\psi}_\delta(0)} - \frac{1}{c + \delta u} \right] \\
&\quad - \lambda \mu \int_0^u \frac{K_\delta(u, t)}{c + \delta t} F_1(t \wedge x) dt \\
&= F_\delta(0, x) \frac{\bar{\psi}_\delta(u)}{\bar{\psi}_\delta(0)} - \frac{\lambda \mu}{c + \delta u} F_1(u \wedge x) - \lambda \mu \int_0^u \frac{K_\delta(u, t)}{c + \delta t} F_1(t \wedge x) dt. \tag{4.14}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, when $u \leq x$, by equations (4.14) and (4.11), we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
F_\delta(u, x) &= F_\delta(0, x) \frac{\bar{\psi}_\delta(u)}{\bar{\psi}_\delta(0)} - \frac{\lambda \mu}{c + \delta u} F_1(u) - \lambda \mu \int_0^u \frac{K_\delta(u, t)}{c + \delta t} F_1(t) dt \\
&= F_\delta(0, x) \frac{\bar{\psi}_\delta(u)}{\bar{\psi}_\delta(0)} - \frac{\lambda \mu}{c + \delta u} F_1(u) - \left[\frac{\psi_\delta(0) \bar{\psi}_\delta(u)}{\bar{\psi}_\delta(0)} - \psi_\delta(u) - \frac{\lambda \mu}{c + \delta u} F_1(u) \right] \\
&= F_\delta(0, x) \frac{\bar{\psi}_\delta(u)}{\bar{\psi}_\delta(0)} - \frac{\psi_\delta(0) \bar{\psi}_\delta(u)}{\bar{\psi}_\delta(0)} + \psi_\delta(u) \\
&= \psi_\delta(u) - \frac{\bar{\psi}_\delta(u)}{\bar{\psi}_\delta(0)} (\psi_\delta(0) - F_\delta(0, x)),
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that equation (4.12) holds.

On the other hand, when $u > x$, by equations (4.14) and (4.9), we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
F_\delta(u, x) &= F_\delta(0, x) \frac{\bar{\psi}_\delta(u)}{\bar{\psi}_\delta(0)} - \frac{\lambda \mu}{c + \delta u} F_1(x) - \lambda \mu \int_0^x \frac{K_\delta(u, t)}{c + \delta t} F_1(t) dt - \lambda \mu \int_x^u \frac{K_\delta(u, t)}{c + \delta t} F_1(x) dt \\
&= F_\delta(0, x) \frac{\bar{\psi}_\delta(u)}{\bar{\psi}_\delta(0)} - \frac{\lambda \mu}{c + \delta u} F_1(x) - \lambda \mu \int_0^x \frac{K_\delta(u, t)}{c + \delta t} F_1(t) dt \\
&\quad - F_1(x) \left[\lambda \mu \int_0^u \frac{K_\delta(u, t)}{c + \delta t} dt - \lambda \mu \int_0^x \frac{K_\delta(u, t)}{c + \delta t} dt \right] \\
&= F_\delta(0, x) \frac{\bar{\psi}_\delta(u)}{\bar{\psi}_\delta(0)} - \frac{\lambda \mu}{c + \delta u} F_1(x) - \lambda \mu F_1(x) \left[\frac{\bar{\psi}_\delta(u)}{c \bar{\psi}_\delta(0)} - \frac{1}{c + \delta u} \right] \\
&\quad + \lambda \mu \int_0^x \frac{K_\delta(u, t)}{c + \delta t} (F_1(x) - F_1(t)) dt \\
&= \left(F_\delta(0, x) - \frac{1}{1 + \theta} F_1(x) \right) \frac{1 - \psi_\delta(u)}{1 - \psi_\delta(0)} + \lambda \mu \int_0^x \frac{K_\delta(u, t)}{c + \delta t} (F_1(x) - F_1(t)) dt,
\end{aligned}$$

which gives equation (4.13).

Therefore, differentiating the equations for $F_\delta(u, x)$ in Theorem 4.1 with respect to x , we get the following generalisation of Dickson's formulae when $\delta > 0$.

Theorem 4.2 When $u \leq x$,

$$f_\delta(u, x) = \frac{1 - \psi_\delta(u)}{1 - \psi_\delta(0)} f_\delta(0, x).$$

When $u > x$,

$$f_\delta(u, x) = \left[f_\delta(0, x) - \frac{\lambda}{c} \bar{F}(x) \right] \frac{1 - \psi_\delta(u)}{1 - \psi_\delta(0)} + \lambda \bar{F}(x) \int_0^x \frac{K_\delta(u, t)}{c + \delta t} dt. \quad (4.15)$$

Remark 4.1 When $\delta = 0$, we have $f_\delta(0, x) = \frac{\lambda}{c} \bar{F}(x)$ and $K_\delta(u, t) = K_0(u - t)$. Thus, by equation (4.10), we find for $u > x$,

$$\int_0^x \frac{K_\delta(u, t)}{c + \delta t} dt = \frac{1}{c} \int_0^x K_0(u - t) dt = \frac{\psi(u - x) - \psi(u)}{c(1 - \psi(0))}.$$

Therefore Theorem 4.2 generalises Dickson's formulae (4.5) and (4.6). However, we point out that for $u > x$, we cannot express $\int_0^x (K_\delta(u, t)/(c + \delta t)) dt$ in terms of $\psi_\delta(u)$. In this sense, Dickson's formula holds when $\delta > 0$ only when $x \geq u$. Dickson interpreted his formulae using dual events, but the duality argument does not hold when $\delta > 0$.

Next, we give an analytical proof of equation (4.4) based on the representation of solution for $h_\delta(u, x, y)$ and Theorem 4.2.

Theorem 4.3 For any $u \geq 0$,

$$h_\delta(u, x, y) = \frac{f(x + y)}{\bar{F}(x)} f_\delta(u, x).$$

Proof. By equations (4.7), (2.8) and (4.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & h_\delta(u, x, y) \\ &= \frac{c h_\delta(0, x, y)}{c + \delta u} - \frac{\lambda I(u > x)}{c + \delta u} f(x + y) + \int_0^u K_\delta(u, t) \left[\frac{c h_\delta(0, x, y)}{c + \delta t} - \frac{\lambda I(t > x)}{c + \delta t} f(x + y) \right] dt \\ &= \frac{c h_\delta(0, x, y)}{c + \delta u} - \frac{\lambda I(u > x)}{c + \delta u} f(x + y) + c h_\delta(0, x, y) \left[\frac{\bar{\psi}_\delta(u)}{c \bar{\psi}_\delta(0)} - \frac{1}{c + \delta u} \right] \\ &\quad - \lambda f(x + y) \int_0^u \frac{K_\delta(u, t)}{c + \delta t} I(t > x) dt \\ &= h_\delta(0, x, y) \frac{\bar{\psi}_\delta(u)}{\bar{\psi}_\delta(0)} - \frac{\lambda I(u > x)}{c + \delta u} f(x + y) - \lambda f(x + y) \int_0^u \frac{K_\delta(u, t)}{c + \delta t} I(t > x) dt. \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

Thus, when $u \leq x$, by equations (4.16), (4.3), and Theorem 4.2, we have

$$h_\delta(u, x, y) = h_\delta(0, x, y) \frac{\bar{\psi}_\delta(u)}{\bar{\psi}_\delta(0)} = f_\delta(0, x) \frac{f(x+y)}{\bar{F}(x)} \frac{\bar{\psi}_\delta(u)}{\bar{\psi}_\delta(0)} = f_\delta(u, x) \frac{f(x+y)}{\bar{F}(x)}.$$

When $u > x$, by equations (4.16), (4.9), and (4.15), we have

$$\begin{aligned} h_\delta(u, x, y) &= h_\delta(0, x, y) \frac{\bar{\psi}_\delta(u)}{\bar{\psi}_\delta(0)} - \frac{\lambda}{c + \delta u} f(x+y) - \lambda f(x+y) \int_x^u \frac{K_\delta(u, t)}{c + \delta t} dt \\ &= h_\delta(0, x, y) \frac{\bar{\psi}_\delta(u)}{\bar{\psi}_\delta(0)} - \frac{\lambda}{c + \delta u} f(x+y) - \lambda f(x+y) \int_0^u \frac{K_\delta(u, t)}{c + \delta t} dt \\ &\quad + \lambda f(x+y) \int_0^x \frac{K_\delta(u, t)}{c + \delta t} dt \\ &= h_\delta(0, x, y) \frac{\bar{\psi}_\delta(u)}{\bar{\psi}_\delta(0)} - \frac{\lambda}{c + \delta u} f(x+y) - \lambda f(x+y) \left[\frac{\bar{\psi}_\delta(u)}{c \bar{\psi}_\delta(0)} - \frac{1}{c + \delta u} \right] \\ &\quad + \lambda f(x+y) \int_0^x \frac{K_\delta(u, t)}{c + \delta t} dt \\ &= \left[h_\delta(0, x, y) - \frac{\lambda}{c} f(x+y) \right] \frac{\bar{\psi}_\delta(u)}{\bar{\psi}_\delta(0)} + \lambda f(x+y) \int_0^x \frac{K_\delta(u, t)}{c + \delta t} dt \\ &= \left(h_\delta(0, x, y) - \frac{\lambda}{c} f(x+y) \right) \frac{1 - \psi_\delta(u)}{1 - \psi_\delta(0)} \\ &\quad + \frac{f(x+y)}{\bar{F}(x)} \left\{ f_\delta(u, x) - \left(f_\delta(0, x) - \frac{\lambda}{c} \bar{F}(x) \right) \frac{1 - \psi_\delta(u)}{1 - \psi_\delta(0)} \right\} \\ &= \frac{f(x+y)}{\bar{F}(x)} f_\delta(u, x) + \left(h_\delta(0, x, y) - \frac{f(x+y)}{\bar{F}(x)} f_\delta(0, x) \right) \frac{1 - \psi_\delta(u)}{1 - \psi_\delta(0)} \\ &= \frac{f(x+y)}{\bar{F}(x)} f_\delta(u, x) \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 4.3.

In general, we can express Φ_δ as a function of ψ_δ and K_δ as follows.

Theorem 4.4 For any $u \geq 0$,

$$\Phi_\delta(u) = \left(\Phi_\delta(0) - \frac{\lambda}{c} \int_0^u A(s) ds \right) \frac{1 - \psi_\delta(u)}{1 - \psi_\delta(0)} + \lambda \int_0^u A(t) \int_0^t \frac{K_\delta(u, s)}{c + \delta s} ds dt. \quad (4.17)$$

Proof. By equations (2.5) and (2.8), and (4.9) we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\Phi_\delta(u) \\ &= \frac{c \Phi_\delta(0)}{c + \delta u} - \frac{\lambda}{c + \delta u} \int_0^u A(s) ds + \int_0^u K_\delta(u, t) \left(\frac{c \Phi_\delta(0)}{c + \delta t} - \frac{\lambda}{c + \delta t} \int_0^t A(s) ds \right) dt \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{c\Phi_\delta(0)}{c+\delta u} - \frac{\lambda}{c+\delta u} \int_0^u A(s)ds + c\Phi_\delta(0) \int_0^u \frac{K_\delta(u,t)}{c+\delta t} dt - \lambda \int_0^u \frac{K_\delta(u,t)}{c+\delta t} \int_0^t A(s)dsdt \\
&= \frac{c\Phi_\delta(0)}{c+\delta u} - \frac{\lambda}{c+\delta u} \int_0^u A(s)ds + c\Phi_\delta(0) \left(\frac{\bar{\psi}_\delta(u)}{c\bar{\psi}_\delta(0)} - \frac{1}{c+\delta u} \right) - \lambda \int_0^u \frac{K_\delta(u,t)}{c+\delta t} \int_0^t A(s)dsdt \\
&= \Phi_\delta(0) \frac{\bar{\psi}_\delta(u)}{\bar{\psi}_\delta(0)} - \frac{\lambda}{c+\delta u} \int_0^u A(s)ds - \lambda \int_0^u \frac{K_\delta(u,t)}{c+\delta t} \int_0^t A(s)dsdt \\
&= \Phi_\delta(0) \frac{\bar{\psi}_\delta(u)}{\bar{\psi}_\delta(0)} - \frac{\lambda}{c+\delta u} \int_0^u A(s)ds - \lambda \int_0^u \int_0^t A(s)ds d \left(\int_0^t \frac{K_\delta(u,s)}{c+\delta s} ds \right) \\
&= \Phi_\delta(0) \frac{\bar{\psi}_\delta(u)}{\bar{\psi}_\delta(0)} - \frac{\lambda}{c+\delta u} \int_0^u A(s)ds \\
&\quad - \lambda \left(\int_0^u A(s)ds \int_0^u \frac{K_\delta(u,s)}{c+\delta s} ds - \int_0^u A(t) \int_0^t \frac{K_\delta(u,s)}{c+\delta s} dsdt \right) \\
&= \Phi_\delta(0) \frac{\bar{\psi}_\delta(u)}{\bar{\psi}_\delta(0)} - \frac{\lambda}{c+\delta u} \int_0^u A(s)ds \\
&\quad - \lambda \left(\int_0^u A(s)ds \left(\frac{\bar{\psi}_\delta(u)}{c\bar{\psi}_\delta(0)} - \frac{1}{c+\delta u} \right) - \int_0^u A(t) \int_0^t \frac{K_\delta(u,s)}{c+\delta s} dsdt \right) \\
&= \left(\Phi_\delta(0) - \frac{\lambda}{c} \int_0^u A(s)ds \right) \frac{\bar{\psi}_\delta(u)}{\bar{\psi}_\delta(0)} + \lambda \int_0^u A(t) \int_0^t \frac{K_\delta(u,s)}{c+\delta s} dsdt,
\end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 4.4.

In particular, when $\delta = 0$, we can obtain an expression for $\Phi = \Phi_{\delta=0}$, the expected value of the penalty function in the classical risk model, as a function of ψ as follows.

Corollary 4.1 For any $u \geq 0$,

$$\Phi(u) = \frac{\lambda}{c} \left(\int_u^\infty A(s)ds \right) \frac{1-\psi(u)}{1-\psi(0)} + \frac{\lambda}{c} \int_0^u A(t) \frac{\psi(u-t) - \psi(u)}{1-\psi(0)} dt. \quad (4.18)$$

Proof. By equations (4.17), (3.2), and (4.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi(u) &= \left(\Phi(0) - \frac{\lambda}{c} \int_0^u A(s)ds \right) \frac{1-\psi(u)}{1-\psi(0)} + \frac{\lambda}{c} \int_0^u A(t) \int_0^t K_0(u-s)dsdt \\
&= \left(\frac{\lambda}{c} \int_0^\infty A(s)ds - \frac{\lambda}{c} \int_0^u A(s)ds \right) \frac{1-\psi(u)}{1-\psi(0)} - \frac{\lambda}{c} \int_0^u A(t) \frac{\psi(u-t) - \psi(u)}{1-\psi(0)} dt,
\end{aligned}$$

which gives equation (4.18).

Example 4.1 For $r \geq 0$, let $w(x_1, x_2) = e^{-r(x_1+x_2)}$. Then

$$\Phi(u) = E(e^{-r(U(T^-)+|U(T)|)}) I(T < \infty) = \tilde{D}(u, r),$$

the Laplace transform of the amount of the claim causing ruin when ruin occurs, where T is the time of ruin when $\delta = 0$. Then

$$A(t) = \int_t^\infty w(t, x-t) dF(x) = \int_t^\infty e^{-rx} dF(x)$$

and Corollary 4.1 gives

$$\tilde{D}(u, r) = \frac{\lambda}{c} \left(\int_u^\infty \int_t^\infty e^{-rx} dF(x) dt \right) \frac{1 - \psi(u)}{1 - \psi(0)} + \frac{\lambda}{c} \int_0^u \left(\int_t^\infty e^{-rx} dF(x) \right) \frac{\psi(u-t) - \psi(u)}{1 - \psi(0)} dt.$$

Thus, given that ruin occurs, if the $(n+1)$ -th moment of F exists, we get the n -th moment of the claim causing ruin, namely,

$$\begin{aligned} E((U(T^-) + |U(T)|)^n | T < \infty) &= \frac{(-1)^n}{\psi(u)} \left(\frac{d^n}{dr^n} \tilde{D}(u, r) \Big|_{r=0} \right) \\ &= \frac{\lambda}{c\psi(u)} \int_u^\infty \int_t^\infty x^n dF(x) dt \frac{1 - \psi(u)}{1 - \psi(0)} + \frac{\lambda}{c\psi(u)} \int_0^u \int_t^\infty x^n dF(x) \frac{\psi(u-t) - \psi(u)}{1 - \psi(0)} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 4.1 is a very important result because we can use it to obtain many well known results from the literature. These include expressions for the ruin probability ψ , for the joint and marginal (defective) distributions of the surplus prior to ruin and the deficit at ruin, and for moments of these marginal distributions. For example, we can use Corollary 4.1 to obtain formula (4.5) of Lin and Willmot (2000) for the moments of the deficit at ruin, given that ruin occurs.

Finally, we can apply the methods of this section to the expected value of the discounted penalty function of Gerber and Shiu (1998). We will derive a formula similar to that in Corollary 4.1 in the next section.

5 Gerber and Shiu's discounted penalty function revisited

Gerber and Shiu (1998) introduced the function

$$\phi_\alpha(u) = \Phi_{\delta=0, \alpha}(u) = E(w(U(T^-), |U(T)|) e^{-\alpha T} I(T < \infty)),$$

where T is as defined in Example 4.1. Through this function we can study the joint distribution of surplus prior to ruin, the deficit at ruin and the time of ruin. They defined the following ruin function:

$$\Psi_\alpha(u) = E(e^{-\alpha T + \rho U(T)} I(T < \infty))$$

where ρ is the unique non-negative root of Lundberg's fundamental equation for the classical risk model, i.e.

$$-\alpha + c\xi + \lambda\left(\int_0^\infty e^{-\xi x} f(x) dx - 1\right) = 0.$$

They showed that $\phi_\alpha(u)$ and $\Psi_\alpha(u)$ satisfy the following integral equations:

$$\phi_\alpha(u) = h(u) + \int_0^u \phi_\alpha(x) g(u-x) dx$$

and

$$\Psi_\alpha(u) = \int_u^\infty e^{-\rho(x-u)} g(x) dx + \int_0^u \Psi_\alpha(x) g(u-x) dx \quad (5.1)$$

where

$$h(x) = \frac{\lambda}{c} \int_x^\infty e^{-\rho(t-x)} A(t) dt$$

and

$$g(x) = \frac{\lambda}{c} \int_x^\infty e^{-\rho(t-x)} f(t) dt.$$

They also considered the following ruin function:

$$\bar{\Psi}_\alpha(u) = e^{\rho u} - \Psi_\alpha(u). \quad (5.2)$$

It is easy to verify from equations (5.1) and (5.2) that $\bar{\Psi}_\alpha(u)$ satisfies the following integral equation:

$$\bar{\Psi}_\alpha(u) = e^{\rho u} \bar{\Psi}_\alpha(0) + \int_0^u \bar{\Psi}_\alpha(x) g(u-x) dx$$

where

$$\bar{\Psi}_\alpha(0) = 1 - \Psi_\alpha(0) = 1 - \int_0^\infty e^{-\rho x} g(x) dx.$$

Thus, letting

$$K_\alpha(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g^{*n}(x),$$

we have by equations (2.7) to (2.9)

$$\bar{\Psi}_\alpha(u) = e^{\rho u} \bar{\Psi}_\alpha(0) + \bar{\Psi}_\alpha(0) \int_0^u e^{\rho x} K_\alpha(u-x) dx, \quad (5.3)$$

which is equation (4.12) of Gerber and Shiu (1997), an equation they obtained using Laplace transforms.

Equation (5.3) implies that

$$\int_0^u K_\alpha(u-t)e^{\rho t} dt = \frac{\bar{\Psi}_\alpha(u)}{\bar{\Psi}_\alpha(0)} - e^{\rho u},$$

or, equivalently,

$$\int_0^u K_\alpha(y)e^{-\rho y} dy = \frac{e^{-\rho u}\bar{\Psi}_\alpha(u) - \bar{\Psi}_\alpha(0)}{\bar{\Psi}_\alpha(0)}.$$

Thus, using arguments similar to those in the previous section, we can easily obtain an expression for $\phi_\alpha(u)$ as follows.

Theorem 5.1 For any $u \geq 0$,

$$\phi_\alpha(u) = \frac{\lambda}{c} \int_u^\infty e^{-\rho t} A(t) dt \frac{e^{\rho u} - \Psi_\alpha(u)}{1 - \Psi_\alpha(0)} + \frac{\lambda}{c} \int_0^u A(t) \frac{\Psi_\alpha(u-t) - e^{-\rho t} \Psi_\alpha(u)}{1 - \Psi_\alpha(0)} dt.$$

Proof. We have by equations (2.7) to (2.9)

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_\alpha(u) &= h(u) + \int_0^u K_\alpha(x)h(u-x)dx \\ &= h(u) + \int_0^u e^{\rho x}h(u-x) d\left(\int_0^x e^{-\rho t}K_\alpha(t)dt\right) \\ &= h(u) + h(0)e^{\rho u} \int_0^u e^{-\rho t}K_\alpha(t)dt - \frac{\lambda}{c} \int_0^u e^{\rho x}A(u-x) \int_0^x e^{-\rho t}K_\alpha(t)dt dx \\ &= h(u) + h(0) \frac{\bar{\Psi}_\alpha(u) - e^{\rho u}\bar{\Psi}_\alpha(0)}{\bar{\Psi}_\alpha(0)} - \frac{\lambda}{c} \int_0^u A(u-x) \frac{\bar{\Psi}_\alpha(x) - e^{\rho x}\bar{\Psi}_\alpha(0)}{\bar{\Psi}_\alpha(0)} dx \\ &= h(u) + h(0) \frac{e^{\rho u}\Psi_\alpha(0) - \Psi_\alpha(u)}{1 - \Psi_\alpha(0)} - \frac{\lambda}{c} \int_0^u A(u-x) \frac{e^{\rho x}\Psi_\alpha(0) - \Psi_\alpha(x)}{1 - \Psi_\alpha(0)} dx \\ &= \frac{\lambda}{c} \int_u^\infty e^{-\rho(t-u)} A(t) dt + \left(\frac{\lambda}{c} \int_0^u e^{-\rho t} A(t) dt + \frac{\lambda}{c} \int_u^\infty e^{-\rho t} A(t) dt \right) \frac{e^{\rho u}\Psi_\alpha(0) - \Psi_\alpha(u)}{1 - \Psi_\alpha(0)} \\ &\quad - \frac{\lambda}{c} \int_0^u A(t) \frac{e^{\rho(u-t)}\Psi_\alpha(0) - \Psi_\alpha(u-t)}{1 - \Psi_\alpha(0)} dt \\ &= \frac{\lambda}{c} \int_u^\infty e^{-\rho t} A(t) dt \frac{e^{\rho u} - \Psi_\alpha(u)}{1 - \Psi_\alpha(0)} + \frac{\lambda}{c} \int_0^u A(t) \frac{\Psi_\alpha(u-t) - e^{-\rho t}\Psi_\alpha(u)}{1 - \Psi_\alpha(0)} dt. \end{aligned}$$

The above result gives an expression for $\phi_\alpha(u)$ as a function of the ruin probability $\Psi_\alpha(u)$ defined by Gerber and Shiu (1998). In fact, Corollary 4.1 is a special case of this result when $\alpha = 0$. Also, Theorem 5.1 of Lin and Willmot (1999) can be obtained by taking $w(x_1, x_2) = I(x_1 \leq x)I(x_2 \leq y)$ in the above result.

6 Concluding remarks

We have derived the following: an integral equation for $\Phi_{\delta,\alpha}(u)$; the Laplace transform of an auxiliary function of $\Phi_{\delta}(u)$; an exact expression for $\Phi_{\delta}(0)$; and relationships between ruin functions and the ultimate ruin probability. We have also generalised Dickson's (1992) formulae from the case when $\delta = 0$ to the case when $\delta > 0$. Ruin functions are very complicated when $\delta > 0$. Although we have discussed some properties of ruin functions when $\delta > 0$ we have been unable to find many explicit results. Further research in ruin theory when $\delta > 0$ is clearly required. For example, it seems that we cannot apply both the probability measure transform technique of Gerber and Shiu (1998) and the Laplace transform technique of Sundt and Teugels (1995) to determine an expression for $\Phi_{\delta,\alpha}(0)$ when $\delta > 0$ and $\alpha > 0$. We leave this as an open question.

References

- [1] Dickson, D. C. M. (1992) On the distribution of the surplus prior to ruin. *Insurance: Math. Econom.* **11**, 191-207.
- [2] Dufresne, F. and Gerber, H.U. (1988) The surpluses immediately before and at ruin, and the amount of the claim causing ruin. *Insurance: Math. Econom.* **7**, 193-199.
- [3] Gerber, H.U., Goovaerts, M.J. and Kaas, R. (1987) On the probability and severity of ruin. *Astin Bulletin* **17**, 151-163.
- [4] Gerber, H.U. and Shiu, E.S.W. (1997) The joint distribution of the time of ruin, the surplus immediately before ruin, and the deficit at ruin. *Insurance: Math. Econom.* **21**, 129-137.
- [5] Gerber, H.U. and Shiu, E.S.W. (1998) On the time value of ruin. *North American Actuarial Journal* **2**: 48-78.
- [6] Lin, X. and Willmot, G.E. (1999) Analysis of a defective renewal equation arising in ruin theory. *Insurance: Math. Econom.* **25**, 63-84.
- [7] Lin, X. and Willmot, G.E. (2000) The moments of the time of ruin, the surplus before ruin, and the deficit at ruin. *Insurance: Math. Econom.* **27**, 19-44.

- [8] Mikhlin, S.G. (1957) *Integral Equations*. Pergamon Press, London.
- [9] Sundt, B. and Teugels, J. L. (1995) Ruin estimates under interest force. *Insurance: Math. Econom.* **16**, 7-22.
- [10] Yang, H. and Zhang, L. (2001a) The joint distribution of surplus immediately before ruin and the deficit at ruin under interest force. *Research Reports*, No. 288, Department of Statistics and Actuarial Science, The University of Hong Kong.
- [11] Yang, H. and Zhang, L. (2001b) On the distribution of the surplus immediately after ruin under interest force. *Insurance: Math. Econom.* **29**, 247-256.

RESEARCH PAPER SERIES

No.	Date	Subject	Author
1	MAR 1993	AUSTRALIAN SUPERANNUATION: THE FACTS, THE FICTION, THE FUTURE	David M Knox
2	APR 1993	AN EXPONENTIAL BOUND FOR RUIN PROBABILITIES	David C M Dickson
3	APR 1993	SOME COMMENTS ON THE COMPOUND BINOMIAL MODEL	David C M Dickson
4	AUG 1993	RUIN PROBLEMS AND DUAL EVENTS	David C M Dickson Alfredo D Egídio dos Reis
5	SEP 1993	CONTEMPORARY ISSUES IN AUSTRALIAN SUPERANNUATION – A CONFERENCE SUMMARY	David M Knox John Piggott
6	SEP 1993	AN ANALYSIS OF THE EQUITY INVESTMENTS OF AUSTRALIAN SUPERANNUATION FUNDS	David M Knox
7	OCT 1993	A CRITIQUE OF DEFINED CONTRIBUTION USING A SIMULATION APPROACH	David M Knox
8	JAN 1994	REINSURANCE AND RUIN	David C M Dickson Howard R Waters
9	MAR 1994	LIFETIME INSURANCE, TAXATION, EXPENDITURE AND SUPERANNUATION (LITES): A LIFE-CYCLE SIMULATION MODEL	Margaret E Atkinson John Creedy David M Knox
10	FEB 1994	SUPERANNUATION FUNDS AND THE PROVISION OF DEVELOPMENT/VENTURE CAPITAL: THE PERFECT MATCH? YES OR NO	David M Knox
11	JUNE 1994	RUIN PROBLEMS: SIMULATION OR CALCULATION?	David C M Dickson Howard R Waters
12	JUNE 1994	THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE AGE PENSION AND SUPERANNUATION BENEFITS, PARTICULARLY FOR WOMEN	David M Knox
13	JUNE 1994	THE COST AND EQUITY IMPLICATIONS OF THE INSTITUTE OF ACTUARIES OF AUSTRALIA PROPOSED RETIREMENT INCOMES STRATEGY	Margaret E Atkinson John Creedy David M Knox Chris Haberecht
14	SEPT 1994	PROBLEMS AND PROSPECTS FOR THE LIFE INSURANCE AND PENSIONS SECTOR IN INDONESIA	Catherine Prime David M Knox

No.	Date	Subject	Author
15	OCT 1994	PRESENT PROBLEMS AND PROSPECTIVE PRESSURES IN AUSTRALIA'S SUPERANNUATION SYSTEM	David M Knox
16	DEC 1994	PLANNING RETIREMENT INCOME IN AUSTRALIA: ROUTES THROUGH THE MAZE	Margaret E Atkinson John Creedy David M Knox
17	JAN 1995	ON THE DISTRIBUTION OF THE DURATION OF NEGATIVE SURPLUS	David C M Dickson Alfredo D Egídio dos Reis
18	FEB 1995	OUTSTANDING CLAIM LIABILITIES: ARE THEY PREDICTABLE?	Ben Zehnwrith
19	MAY 1995	SOME STABLE ALGORITHMS IN RUIN THEORY AND THEIR APPLICATIONS	David C M Dickson Alfredo D Egídio dos Reis Howard R Waters
20	JUNE 1995	SOME FINANCIAL CONSEQUENCES OF THE SIZE OF AUSTRALIA'S SUPERANNUATION INDUSTRY IN THE NEXT THREE DECADES	David M Knox
21	JUNE 1995	MODELLING OPTIMAL RETIREMENT IN DECISIONS IN AUSTRALIA	Margaret E Atkinson John Creedy
22	JUNE 1995	AN EQUITY ANALYSIS OF SOME RADICAL SUGGESTIONS FOR AUSTRALIA'S RETIREMENT INCOME SYSTEM	Margaret E Atkinson John Creedy David M Knox
23	SEP 1995	EARLY RETIREMENT AND THE OPTIMAL RETIREMENT AGE	Angela Ryan
24	OCT 1995	APPROXIMATE CALCULATIONS OF MOMENTS OF RUIN RELATED DISTRIBUTIONS	David C M Dickson
25	DEC 1995	CONTEMPORARY ISSUES IN THE ONGOING REFORM OF THE AUSTRALIAN RETIREMENT INCOME SYSTEM	David M Knox
26	FEB 1996	THE CHOICE OF EARLY RETIREMENT AGE AND THE AUSTRALIAN SUPERANNUATION SYSTEM	Margaret E Atkinson John Creedy
27	FEB 1996	PREDICTIVE AGGREGATE CLAIMS DISTRIBUTIONS	David C M Dickson Ben Zehnwrith
28	FEB 1996	THE AUSTRALIAN GOVERNMENT SUPERANNUATION CO-CONTRIBUTIONS: ANALYSIS AND COMPARISON	Margaret E Atkinson
29	MAR 1996	A SURVEY OF VALUATION ASSUMPTIONS AND FUNDING METHODS USED BY AUSTRALIAN ACTUARIES IN DEFINED BENEFIT SUPERANNUATION FUND VALUATIONS	Des Welch Shauna Ferris

No.	Date	Subject	Author
30	MAR 1996	THE EFFECT OF INTEREST ON NEGATIVE SURPLUS	David C M Dickson Alfredo D Egídio dos Reis
31	MAR 1996	RESERVING CONSECUTIVE LAYERS OF INWARDS EXCESS-OFF-LOSS REINSURANCE	Greg Taylor
32	AUG 1996	EFFECTIVE AND ETHICAL INSTITUTIONAL INVESTMENT	Anthony Asher
33	AUG 1996	STOCHASTIC INVESTMENT MODELS: UNIT ROOTS, COINTEGRATION, STATE SPACE AND GARCH MODELS FOR AUSTRALIA	Michael Sherris Leanna Tedesco Ben Zehnwrith
34	AUG 1996	THREE POWERFUL DIAGNOSTIC MODELS FOR LOSS RESERVING	Ben Zehnwrith
35	SEPT 1996	KALMAN FILTERS WITH APPLICATIONS TO LOSS RESERVING	Ben Zehnwrith
36	OCT 1996	RELATIVE REINSURANCE RETENTION LEVELS	David C M Dickson Howard R Waters
37	OCT 1996	SMOOTHNESS CRITERIA FOR MULTI-DIMENSIONAL WHITTAKER GRADUATION	Greg Taylor
38	OCT 1996	GEOGRAPHIC PREMIUM RATING BY WHITTAKER SPATIAL SMOOTHING	Greg Taylor
39	OCT 1996	RISK, CAPITAL AND PROFIT IN INSURANCE	Greg Taylor
40	OCT 1996	SETTING A BONUS-MALUS SCALE IN THE PRESENCE OF OTHER RATING FACTORS	Greg Taylor
41	NOV 1996	CALCULATIONS AND DIAGNOSTICS FOR LINK RATION TECHNIQUES	Ben Zehnwrith Glen Barnett
42	DEC 1996	VIDEO-CONFERENCING IN ACTUARIAL STUDIES – A THREE YEAR CASE STUDY	David M Knox
43	DEC 1996	ALTERNATIVE RETIREMENT INCOME ARRANGEMENTS AND LIFETIME INCOME INEQUALITY: LESSONS FROM AUSTRALIA	Margaret E Atkinson John Creedy David M Knox
44	JAN 1997	AN ANALYSIS OF PENSIONER MORTALITY BY PRE-RETIREMENT INCOME	David M Knox Andrew Tomlin
45	JUL 1997	TECHNICAL ASPECTS OF DOMESTIC LINES PRICING	Greg Taylor
46	AUG 1997	RUIN PROBABILITIES WITH COMPOUNDING ASSETS	David C M Dickson Howard R Waters
47	NOV 1997	ON NUMERICAL EVALUATION OF FINITE TIME RUIN PROBABILITIES	David C M Dickson

No.	Date	Subject	Author
48	NOV 1997	ON THE MOMENTS OF RUIN AND RECOVERY TIMES	Alfredo G Egídio dos Reis
49	JAN 1998	A DECOMPOSITION OF ACTUARIAL SURPLUS AND APPLICATIONS	Daniel Dufresne
50	JAN 1998	PARTICIPATION PROFILES OF AUSTRALIAN WOMEN	M. E. Atkinson Roslyn Cornish
51	MAR 1998	PRICING THE STOCHASTIC VOLATILITY PUT OPTION OF BANKS' CREDIT LINE COMMITMENTS	J.P. Chateau Daniel Dufresne
52	MAR 1998	ON ROBUST ESTIMATION IN BÜHLMANN STRAUB'S CREDIBILITY MODEL	José Garrido Georgios Pitselis
53	MAR 1998	AN ANALYSIS OF THE EQUITY IMPLICATIONS OF RECENT TAXATION CHANGES TO AUSTRALIAN SUPERANNUATION	David M Knox M. E. Atkinson Susan Donath
54	APR 1998	TAX REFORM AND SUPERANNUATION – AN OPPORTUNITY TO BE GRASPED.	David M Knox
55	APR 1998	SUPER BENEFITS? ESTIMATES OF THE RETIREMENT INCOMES THAT AUSTRALIAN WOMEN WILL RECEIVE FROM SUPERANNUATION	Susan Donath
56	APR 1998	A UNIFIED APPROACH TO THE STUDY OF TAIL PROBABILITIES OF COMPOUND DISTRIBUTIONS	Jun Cai José Garrido
57	MAY 1998	THE DE PRIL TRANSFORM OF A COMPOUND R_k DISTRIBUTION	Bjørn Sundt Okechukwu Ekuma
58	MAY 1998	ON MULTIVARIATE PANJER RECURSIONS	Bjørn Sundt
59	MAY 1998	THE MULTIVARIATE DE PRIL TRANSFORM	Bjørn Sundt
60	JUNE 1998	ON ERROR BOUNDS FOR MULTIVARIATE DISTRIBUTIONS	Bjørn Sundt
61	JUNE 1998	THE EQUITY IMPLICATIONS OF CHANGING THE TAX BASIS FOR PENSION FUNDS	M E Atkinson John Creedy David Knox
62	JUNE 1998	ACCELERATED SIMULATION FOR PRICING ASIAN OPTIONS	Felisa J Vázquez-Abad Daniel Dufresne
63	JUNE 1998	AN AFFINE PROPERTY OF THE RECIPROCAL ASIAN OPTION PROCESS	Daniel Dufresne
64	AUG 1998	RUIN PROBLEMS FOR PHASE-TYPE(2) RISK PROCESSES	David C M Dickson Christian Hipp
65	AUG 1998	COMPARISON OF METHODS FOR EVALUATION OF THE n -FOLD CONVOLUTION OF AN ARITHMETIC DISTRIBUTION	Bjørn Sundt David C M Dickson

No.	Date	Subject	Author
66	NOV 1998	COMPARISON OF METHODS FOR EVALUATION OF THE CONVOLUTION OF TWO COMPOUND R_1 DISTRIBUTIONS	David C M Dickson Bjørn Sundt
67	NOV 1998	PENSION FUNDING WITH MOVING AVERAGE RATES OF RETURN	Diane Bédard Daniel Dufresne
68	DEC 1998	MULTI-PERIOD AGGREGATE LOSS DISTRIBUTIONS FOR A LIFE PORTFOLIO	David C M Dickson Howard R Waters
69	FEB 1999	LAGUERRE SERIES FOR ASIAN AND OTHER OPTIONS	Daniel Dufresne
70	MAR 1999	THE DEVELOPMENT OF SOME CHARACTERISTICS FOR EQUITABLE NATIONAL RETIREMENT INCOME SYSTEMS	David Knox Roslyn Cornish
71	APR 1999	A PROPOSAL FOR INTEGRATING AUSTRALIA'S RETIREMENT INCOME POLICY	David Knox
72	NOV 1999	THE STATISTICAL DISTRIBUTION OF INCURRED LOSSES AND ITS EVOLUTION OVER TIME I: NON-PARAMETRIC MODELS	Greg Taylor
73	NOV 1999	THE STATISTICAL DISTRIBUTION OF INCURRED LOSSES AND ITS EVOLUTION OVER TIME II: PARAMETRIC MODELS	Greg Taylor
74	DEC 1999	ON THE VANDERMONDE MATRIX AND ITS ROLE IN MATHEMATICAL FINANCE	Ragnar Norberg
75	DEC 1999	A MARKOV CHAIN FINANCIAL MARKET	Ragnar Norberg
76	MAR 2000	STOCHASTIC PROCESSES: LEARNING THE LANGUAGE	A J G Cairns D C M Dickson A S Macdonald H R Waters M Willder
77	MAR 2000	ON THE TIME TO RUIN FOR ERLANG(2) RISK PROCESSES	David C M Dickson
78	JULY 2000	RISK AND DISCOUNTED LOSS RESERVES	Greg Taylor
79	JULY 2000	STOCHASTIC CONTROL OF FUNDING SYSTEMS	Greg Taylor
80	NOV 2000	MEASURING THE EFFECTS OF REINSURANCE BY THE ADJUSTMENT COEFFICIENT IN THE SPARRE ANDERSON MODEL	Maria de Lourdes Centeno
81	NOV 2000	THE STATISTICAL DISTRIBUTION OF INCURRED LOSSES AND ITS EVOLUTION OVER TIME III: DYNAMIC MODELS	Greg Taylor

No.	Date	Subject	Author
82	DEC 2000	OPTIMAL INVESTMENT FOR INVESTORS WITH STATE DEPENDENT INCOME, AND FOR INSURERS	Christian Hipp
83	DEC 2000	HEDGING IN INCOMPLETE MARKETS AND OPTIMAL CONTROL	Christian Hipp Michael Taksar
84	FEB 2001	DISCRETE TIME RISK MODELS UNDER STOCHASTIC FORCES OF INTEREST	Jun Cai
85	FEB 2001	MODERN LANDMARKS IN ACTUARIAL SCIENCE Inaugural Professorial Address	David C M Dickson
86	JUNE 2001	LUNDBERG INEQUALITIES FOR RENEWAL EQUATIONS	Gordon E Willmot Jun Cai X Sheldon Lin
87	SEPTEMBER 2001	VOLATILITY, BETA AND RETURN WAS THERE EVER A MEANINGFUL RELATIONSHIP?	Richard Fitzherbert
88	NOVEMBER 2001	EXPLICIT, FINITE TIME RUIN PROBABILITIES FOR DISCRETE, DEPENDENT CLAIMS	Zvetan G Ignatov Vladimir K Kaishev Rossen S Krachunov
89	NOVEMBER 2001	ON THE DISTRIBUTION OF THE DEFICIT AT RUIN WHEN CLAIMS ARE PHASE-TYPE	Steve Drekić David C M Dickson David A Stanford Gordon E Willmot
90	NOVEMBER 2001	THE INTEGRATED SQUARE-ROOT PROCESS	Daniel Dufresne
91	NOVEMBER 2001	ON THE EXPECTED DISCOUNTED PENALTY FUNCTION AT RUIN OF A SURPLUS PROCESS WITH INTEREST	Jun Cai David C M Dickson